

Cost Effective BMD Measurement Using QCT & FRAX[®]

A guide for physicians



MINDWAYS CT
quantifiably better.

What is QCT?

Quantitative Computed Tomography or “QCT” is a fast, non-invasive bone mineral density (BMD) exam of the lumbar spine or proximal femur, which utilizes low dose scan protocols on a standard Computed Tomography (CT) scanner^[1].

Originally developed in the early 80’s, QCT has several advantages over Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) for the measurement of BMD in patients with degenerative disease and arthritis^[2] but was superseded by DXA early on, primarily due to the high cost and limited availability of early CT scanners. However, that limitation is no longer a problem.



Today, QCT is one of three methods, including DXA, cited by the National Osteoporosis Foundation as safe and effective for the evaluation of BMD^[3]. Modern 3D QCT systems are easy to use, have similar reproducibility to DXA and produce hip measurements that are compatible with assessment using WHO criteria and FRAX[®].

Is QCT the same as DXA?

At the hip, QCT produces BMD T-Score measurements that are clinically equivalent to DXA measurements^[5], but the exam can be done without the complex positioning requirements of DXA that some patients with arthritic hips may find uncomfortable.

At the spine QCT differs from DXA in that QCT is a truly 3-D bone density exam; meaning QCT can measure the metabolically-active trabecular (spongy) interior bone separately from the dense cortical (compact) bone forming the outside bone walls^[2]. Since trabecular bone is affected earlier and to a greater degree than cortical bone, QCT is likely to detect low bone mass earlier in the spine than other bone mineral density exams^[1].

In addition, QCT spine BMD measurements can be made for patients with scoliosis^[8]; and the artificially high BMD measurements that can affect DXA due to obesity^[9], disc space narrowing or spinal degenerative diseases^[10], aortic calcification^[11] and osteophytes^[12] in patients with arthritis can be avoided.

Measurement Precision

Published short-term precision estimates of BMD measurement by QCT have been calculated as CV% for volumetric BMD at the lumbar spine (0.8%)^[4] and areal BMD at the total hip (0.82%) and femoral neck (0.69%)^[5], using a nominal areal BMD of 1.0g/cm² at the hip. This compares favourably with DXA areal BMD measurements, for which published precision estimates are similar for the lumbar spine (1.1%)^[6], total hip (0.65%) and femoral neck (1.66%)^[7].

The QCT Exam

A QCT exam takes about 5 minutes and is similar to an ordinary CT scan. During the exam, both the spine and hip are scanned and the information used for diagnosis of low bone mass (osteopenia) or osteoporosis. Low-dose CT scan protocols are used and so the amount of radiation required is commonly between 500-800 μ Sv^[13] or comparable to a set of mammograms. Analysis, including report generation, is carried out by a CT Technologist. In addition, the exam can utilize other (non-IV contrast) abdominal/pelvic scans such as Virtual Colonography with no further image acquisition or radiation dose to the patient^[14].

QCT Bone Mineral Density Reporting and FRAX[®]

The average bone mineral density is calculated and then compared to age and sex matched controls. At the spine, a true volumetric BMD measurement is made and rather than using T-Scores, it is usually compared to guideline thresholds from the American College of Radiology (ACR)^[15]: a BMD < 80 mg/cm³ indicates osteoporosis; a BMD < 120 mg/cm³ and > 80 mg/cm³ indicates osteopenia; and a BMD above 120 mg/cm³ is considered normal.

At the hip, a DXA-equivalent BMD measurement and T-score are calculated for comparisons to the WHO classification at the proximal femur as normal, osteopenia (T-Score < -1.0 and > -2.5) or osteoporosis (T-Score < -2.5)^[16]. This BMD measurement may also be used for fracture risk probability calculation in the WHO FRAX[®] tool^[17] with “Mindways QCT” as the appropriate BMD setting. In addition, if a patient’s previous examination is found as a match in the database, a comparison to the results of this examination may be made to assess changes in BMD.

Reimbursement of QCT

Bone mineral density measurement by QCT is reimbursed for the screening of postmenopausal women every two years by Medicare under CPT code 77078. Other eligible beneficiaries for QCT BMD exams include patients with vertebral fracture, hyperparathyroidism, or on long-term steroid therapy.

The current reimbursement rate is around \$90, though confirmation of local coverage determination should be sought. The exam is simple and quickly performed so that typical uninsured fees for QCT exams are comparable to, or less than, those for a DXA exam.

References

- [1] J. E. Adams, “Quantitative computed tomography,” *European journal of radiology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 415-24, Sep. 2009.
- [2] K. Engelke, A. Mastmeyer, V. Bousson, T. Fuerst, J.-D. Laredo, and W. Kalender, “Reanalysis precision of 3D quantitative computed tomography (QCT) of the spine,” *Bone*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 566-72, Apr. 2009.
- [3] National Osteoporosis Foundation, “Clinician’s Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis,” 2010.
- [4] J. C. Prior et al., “Premenopausal ovariectomy-related bone loss: a randomized, double-blind, one-year trial of conjugated estrogen or medroxyprogesterone acetate,” *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1851-63, Nov. 1997.
- [5] B. C. C. Khoo et al., “Comparison of QCT-derived and DXA-derived areal bone mineral density and T scores,” *Osteoporosis International*, vol. 20, no.9, pp. 1539-45, Sep. 2009.
- [6] S. Henzell et al., “Precision error of fan-beam dual X-ray absorptiometry scans at the spine, hip, and forearm,” *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 359-64, Jan. 2000.
- [7] J. White, S. S. Harris, G. E. Dallal, and B. Dawson-Hughes, “Precision of Single vs Bilateral Hip Bone Mineral Density Scans,” *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 159-162, Jun. 2003.
- [8] A. El Maghraoui and C. Roux, “DXA scanning in clinical practice,” *QJM*, vol. 101, no. 8, pp. 605-17, Aug. 2008.
- [9] E. W. Yu, B. J. Thomas, J. K. Brown, and J. S. Finkelstein, “Simulated increases in body fat and errors in bone mineral density measurements by DXA and QCT,” *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 119-124, Sep. 2011.
- [10] G. Guglielmi et al., “Effect of Spinal Degenerative Changes on Volumetric Bone Mineral Density of the Central Skeleton as Measured by Quantitative Computed Tomography,” *Acta Radiologica*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 269-275, Jan. 2005.
- [11] J.-A. Smith, J. A. Vento, R. P. Spencer, and B. E. Tendler, “Aortic Calcification Contributing to Bone Densitometry Measurement,” *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 181-183, Jun. 1999.
- [12] G. Liu, M. Peacock, O. Eilam, G. Dorulla, E. Braunstein, and C. C. Johnston, “Effect of osteoarthritis in the lumbar spine and hip on bone mineral density and diagnosis of osteoporosis in elderly men and women,” *Osteoporosis International*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 564-9, Jan. 1997.
- [13] J. S. Bauer, S. Virmani, and D. K. Mueller, “Quantitative CT to assess bone mineral density as a diagnostic tool for osteoporosis and related fractures,” *MedicalMundi*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 31-37, 2010.
- [14] R. M. Summers et al., “Feasibility of simultaneous computed tomographic colonography and fully automated bone mineral densitometry in a single examination,” *Journal of computer assisted tomography*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 212-6, 2011.
- [15] American College of Radiology, “ACR Practice Guideline for the Performance of Quantitative Computed Tomography (QCT) Bone Densitometry,” 2008.
- [16] E. M. Lewiecki et al., “International Society for Clinical Densitometry 2007 Adult and Pediatric Official Positions,” *Bone*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1115-21, Dec. 2008.
- [17] E. M. Lewiecki et al., “Official Positions for FRAX[®] Bone Mineral Density and FRAX[®] simplification from Joint Official Positions Development Conference of the International Society for Clinical Densitometry and International Osteoporosis Foundation on FRAX[®],” *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 226-36.